

How to Select a Flame Detector

Process and plant engineers in the oil and gas industry and a wide range of other hazardous process and manufacturing industries require continuous flame monitoring equipment to prevent catastrophic fires. In order to select such detection equipment, users should endeavor to understand the principles of flame detection and review the types of detectors available today. Armed with this knowledge they will be better able to match the appropriate flame detector to process and site performance requirements and to the type of hazard whose consequences the instrument is designed to mitigate.

Typical Flame Hazards

The range of potential flammable hazards is expansive and growing as materials and processes become more complex. Increasingly sophisticated flame sensing technologies with embedded intelligence are required to detect the most common industrial fuels:

- Alcohols
- LNG/LPG
- Diesel
- Hydrogen
- Gasoline
- Paper/Wood
- Kerosene
- Textiles
- Jet Fuels
- TextilesSolvents
- Ethylene
- Sulfur

Principles of Flame Detection

Most flame detectors identify flames by so-called optical methods like ultraviolet (UV) and infrared (IR) spectroscopy and visual flame imaging. Flames in a refinery, for example, are generally fueled by hydrocarbons, which when supplied with oxygen and an ignition source, produce heat, carbon dioxide, and other products of combustion. The intense reaction is characterized by the emission of visible, UV, and IR radiation. Flame detectors are designed to detect the absorption of light at specific wavelengths, allowing them to discriminate between flames and false alarm sources.

Flame Sensing Technologies

There are four primary optical flame-sensing technologies in use today: ultraviolet (UV), ultraviolet/infrared (UV/IR), multi-spectrum infrared (MSIR), and visual flame imaging. They are all based on line-of-sight detection of radiation emitted in the UV, visible, and IR spectral bands by flames (see Figure 1). Technologies may be selected to suit the requirements of flame



monitoring applications, including detection range, FOV, response time, and particular immunity against certain false alarm sources.




Figure 1: Emission Energy Spectrum.

UV Flame Detectors

UV detectors respond to radiation in the spectral range of approximately 180-260 nanometers. They offer quick response and good sensitivity at comparatively short ranges (0 - 50 ft). Because they are susceptible to arc welding, halogen lamps, and electrical discharges like lightning, they tend to be sited indoors. Thick, sooty smoke can also cause failures due to attenuation of the incident UV radiation.

UV/IR Flame Detectors

When a UV optical sensor is integrated with an IR sensor, a dual band detector is created that is sensitive to the UV and IR radiation emitted by a flame. The combined UV/IR flame detector offers increased immunity over the UV detector,, operates at moderate speeds of response, and is suited for both indoor and outdoor use. As with UV detectors, however, the detection range of these instruments may be reduced by heavy smoke.

Multi-Spectrum Infrared Flame Detectors

Multi-Spectrum IR flame detectors use multiple infrared spectral regions to further improve differentiation of flame sources from non-flame background radiation. These flame detectors are well suited to locations where combustion sources produce smoky fires. They operate at moderate speed with a range of up to 200 feet from the flame source — both indoors and outdoors. These instruments exhibit relatively high immunity to infrared radiation produced by arc welding, lightning, sunlight, and other hot objects that might be encountered in industrial backgrounds.



Visual Flame Imaging Flame Detectors

Visual flame detectors employ standard charged couple device (CCD) image sensors, commonly used in closed circuit television cameras, and flame detection algorithms to establish the presence of fires. The imaging algorithms process the live video image from the CCD array and analyze the shape and progression of would be fires to discriminate between flame and non-flame sources. Unlike IR or UV flame detectors, CCTV visual flame detectors do not depend on emissions from carbon dioxide, water, and other products of combustion to detect fires, nor are they influenced by fire's radiant intensity. As a result, they are commonly found in installations where flame detectors are required to discriminate between process fires and fires resulting from an accidental release of combustible material.

Despite their advantages, visual flame detectors cannot detect flames that are invisible to the naked eye such as hydrogen flames. Heavy smoke also impairs the detector's capacity to detect fire, since visible radiation from the fire is one of the technology's fundamental parameters.

Industrial Process and Plant Flame Detection Requirements

When configuring a flame detection system for a plant and evaluating the various flame detection technology alternatives available today, it is useful to consider the following flame detector performance criteria:

- False Alarm Immunity
- FOV
- Detection Range
- Self Diagnostics
- Response Time

False Alarm Immunity

False alarm rejection is one of the most important considerations for the selection of flame detectors. False alarms are more than a nuisance — they are both a productivity and cost issue. It is therefore essential that flame detectors discriminate between actual flames and radiation from sunlight, lightning, arc welding, hot objects, and other non-flame sources.

<u>Detection Range and Response Time</u>

A flame detector's most basic performance criteria are detection range and response time. Depending on a specific plant application environment, each of the alternative flame detection technologies recognizes a flame within a certain distance and a distribution of response times. Typically the greater the distance and the shorter the time that a given



flame sensing technology requires to detect a flame, the more effective it is at supplying early warning against fires and detonations.

Field of View (FOV)

Detection range and FOV define area coverage per device. Like a wide angle lens, a flame detector with a large field of view can take in a broader scene, which may help reduce the number of flame detectors required for certain installations. Most of today's flame detector models offer fields of view of about 90° to 120°.

Self Diagnostics

To meet the highest reliability standards, continuous optical path monitoring (COPM) diagnostics are often built into optical flame detectors, like those manufactured by General Monitors. The self-check procedure is designed to ensure that the optical path is clear, the detectors are functioning, and additionally, the electronic circuitry is operational. Self-check routines are programmed into the flame detector's control circuitry to activate about once every minute. If the same fault occurs twice in a row, then a fault is indicated via the 0-20 mA output or a digital communications protocol like HART or Modbus.

Conclusions

After gaining a better picture of the potential flame hazard, the principles of flame detection, and the types of flame detection technologies available today, users will be in a better position to select a flame detector. Defining the requirements for an application is also essential, as such factors as the type of fuel, minimum fire size to be detected, and the configuration of the space to be monitored can influence the choice of instrument. To this end, it is often helpful to set up a simple comparison chart of process or plant requirements and then review two or three potential flame detectors against the application criteria.

The chart on the following page has been designed to help users begin the flame detector selection process.



Flame Detector Evaluation Work Sheet

| Evaluation Criteria | General Monitors FL4000H Flame Detector | Other Flame Detector |
|-----------------------|--|----------------------|
| Fuel Hazard | Methane | |
| Location | RefineryOutdoors | |
| Detector Technology | Multi-Spectral IR | |
| False Alarm Immunity | Extremely High | |
| Detection Range | 230 Ft (70 m) | |
| Field of View (FOV) | 100 deg @ 100 ft; 90 deg @ 210 ft | |
| Response Time | < 10 Seconds | |
| Operating Temperature | -40 to +175 deg F; -40 to +80 deg C | |
| Self-Diagnostics | Yes—Neural Network Intelligence; Continuous Optical Path Monitoring | |
| Communications | HART, Modbus | |
| Approvals | FM, CSA, ULC, CE Marking, ATEX, SIL 3 Suitable, certified to IEC 61508 by FM | |

General Monitors:

26776 Simpatica Circle Lake Forest, CA 92630

Phone: +1-949-581-4464 or +1-866-686-0741

Fax: +1-949-581-1151

Email: info@generalmonitors.com

www.generalmonitors.com

9776 Whithorn Drive Houston, TX 77095 Phone: +1-281-855-6000 Fax: +1-281-855-3290

Email: gmhou@generalmonitors.com

Ballybrit Business Park Galway, Republic of Ireland Phone: +353-91-751175 Fax: +353-91-751317

Email: info@gmil.ie

P.O. Box 61209 Jebel Ali, Dubai United Arab Emirates Phone: +971-4-8815751 Fax: +971-4-8817927

Email: gmme@emirates.net.ae

No. 2 Kallang Pudding Rd. #09-16 Mactech Building Singapore 349307 Phone: +65-6-748-3488

Fax: +65-6-748-3488

Email: genmon@gmpacifica.com.sq

Heather Close Lyme Green Business Park Macclesfield, Cheshire United Kingdom, SK11 0LR Phone: +44-1625-619-583

Fax: +44-1625-619-098

Email: info@generalmonitors.co.uk